EXHIBIT A

Index of Exhibits

- A. Complaint
- B. Summons
- C. Certificate Regarding Compulsory Arbitration

JAMES P. ARMSTRONG (#020761) THE ARMSTRONG FIRM 1 A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION 2 4638 EAST SHEA BOULEVARD BUILDING B, SUITE 260 3 PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85028 NOV 3 0. 2010 TEL: 602.788.0095 4 FAX: 602.992.0493 EML: JAMES@THEARMSTRONGFIRM.NET 5 ATTORNEYS FOR MR. AND MRS. CUSTER 6 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA 7 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA 8 CV2010-054724 No. SEAN P. CUSTER and KATHRYN A. CUSTER, husband and wife, 9 **COMPLAINT** Plaintiffs, 10 (Tort Assault; Tort Battery; Constructive Discharge; Wrongful Discharge; Intentional v. 11 Infliction of Emotional Distress; KNIGHT REFRIGERATED, LLC, an Aggravated Negligence and Willful 12 Misconduct; Negligent Infliction of Arizona corporation; JAMES UPDIKE and SARAH UPDIKE, husband and wife; Emotional Distress; Respondeat Superior; 13 Wage and Hour Violation; Loss of and DOES I - X, inclusive, Consortium: Punitive Damages) 14 Defendants. 15 TRIAL BY JURY DEMANDED 16 Plaintiff Sean P. Custer and Kathryn A. Custer, by and through their attorney 17 undersigned, for their Complaint against Defendants Knight Refrigerated, LLC and James 18 Updike (collectively, "Employer"), alleges as follows: 19 PARTIES, JURISDICTION AND VENUE 20 Plaintiffs Sean P. Custer ("Mr. Custer") and Kathryn A. Custer ("Mrs. 21 1. Custer") are a married couple residing in the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. 22 Defendant Knight Refrigerated, LLC ("Knight Refrigerated") is an Arizona 2. 23 limited liability company doing business in the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. 24 Upon information and belief, Defendant James Updike ("Mr. Updike") is a 3. 25 married individual residing in the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. 26

- 4. Upon information and belief, Defendant Sarah Updike ("Ms. Updike") is married to Mr. Updike, and is an individual residing in the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona.
- 5. Ms. Updike is named herein to reach the assets of the Updike marital community, and Ms. Updike is not, as of the time of the filing this Complaint, alleged to have taken any action described herein.
- 6. The Doe Defendants named herein are persons, real or artificial, that engaged in or contributed to the actions of herein complained, and that share or are responsible for the harm caused herein, the true names of whom are not yet known, but when discovered will be added hereto by an amended pleading effective as of the date of the filing of this Complaint.
- 7. The Defendants hereto took actions within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona, making jurisdiction proper over their person proper.
- 8. All actions complained of herein took place in the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona, making venue in this Division of this Court proper.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 9. Mr. Custer is a 2000 graduate of Ironwood High School and a 2005 graduate of Arizona State University.
- 10. While attending Arizona State University, Mr. Custer entered the work force, accepting a position as a Irrigation Technician with Intrawest Golf at The Trilogy at Vistancia.
- 11. After graduation from Arizona State, Mr. Custer was employed by the City of Peoria's Parks and Recreation Department from May 2005 to September 2005 as a groundskeeper at Rio Vista Community Park.
- 12. Also in September 2005, Mr. Custer took on another occupation assembling generator casings for Southwest Products Corporation, which position Mr. Custer held until February 2006.

22

23

24

Mr. Custer regularly worked more than 40 hours per week while employed at 21.

responsibilities or Mr. Custer would never be considered for another promotion at Knight

Refrigerated.

- 22. Mr. Custer did not, however, while employed by Knight Refrigerated have managerial or supervisory responsibility in his positions, and did not make independent decisions with respect to work performed in his position at Knight Refrigerated.
- 23. Rather, Mr. Custer took direction from his superiors at Knight Refrigerated, including Mr. Updike, as to how to complete his assigned tasks.
- 24. Nonetheless, for his entire period of employment at Knight Refrigerated, Mr. Custer was compensated as a salaried employee, rather than an hourly employee.
- 25. As a result of being paid as a salaried employee, Mr. Custer has not been paid the overtime to which he is entitled.
- 26. For the first 30 months of Mr. Custer's employment at Knight Refrigerated, Mr. Custer was not verbally, physically or emotionally abused.
- 27. In June of 2008, however, after Mr. Custer was transferred under the direct supervision of Mr. Updike, a pattern of verbal, physical emotional abuse commenced.
- 28. Also during his employment with Knight Refrigerated, Mr. Custer learned from other employees of Knight Refrigerated that Mr. Updike had a pattern of displaying anger and outbursts toward Knight Refrigerated employees.
- 29. During the time that he worked at Knight Refrigerated, Mr. Updike was an employee of Knight Refrigerated.
- 30. Mr. Updike had actual or apparent authority of Knight Refrigerated in carrying out his conduct with respect to Mr. Custer while employed at Knight Refrigerated and as described herein.
- 31. Mr. Updike was a representative of Knight Refrigerated in carrying out his conduct with respect to Mr. Custer while employed at Knight Refrigerated and as described herein.
- 32. Mr. Updike was a managing agent of Knight Refrigerated in carrying out his conduct with respect to Mr. Custer while employed at Knight Refrigerated and as described

1 herein.

- 33. In carrying out his conduct with respect to Mr. Custer and as described herein, Mr. Updike was an employee of Knight Refrigerated with immediate or successively higher authority over Mr. Custer while employed at Knight Refrigerated.
- 34. During Mr. Custer's employment at Knight Refrigerated, numerous employees at Knight Refrigerated were aware of Mr. Updike's reputation for a bad temper and outbursts at employees.
- 35. During Mr. Custer's employment at Knight Refrigerated, not only Knight Refrigerated, but also its top management, were aware of Mr. Updike's conduct in engaging outbursts at employees.
- 36. Mr. Updike's history of improper conduct toward Knight Refrigerated employees covered at least three years between 2007 and 2010.
- 37. Between 2007 and 2010, Knight Refrigerated took no action to protect its employees from Mr. Updike's conduct.
- 38. As a result of Knight Refrigerated's failure to control Mr. Updike's interaction with Knight Refrigerated employees, Mr. Updike verbally and emotionally abused Mr. Custer during Mr. Custer's employment with Knight Refrigerated.
- 39. Mr. Updike's verbal and emotional abuse of Mr. Custer often occurred in front of other persons, including employees of Knight Refrigerated.
- 40. That Mr. Updike's abuse occurred in front of third parties caused additional harm and embarrassment to Mr. Custer.
- 41. Mr. Custer, at no time during his employment with Knight Refrigerated, did anything to provoke Mr. Updike warranting Mr. Updike's abuse of Mr. Custer.
- 42. Mr. Custer, at no time during his employment with Knight Refrigerated, ever abused, intimidated or otherwise harmed Mr. Updike.
 - 43. Mr. Custer, at no time during his employment with Knight Refrigerated, ever

1	consented to being abused by Mr. Updike.			
2	44.	Mr. Updike is a large and physically imposing man, standing six feet and one		
3	inch tall and w	nch tall and weighing some 215 pounds.		
4	45.	Further, Mr. Updike has been professionally trained in mixed martial arts		
5	46.	On February 10, 2010, Mr. Updike physically struck Mr. Custer, causing a		
6	hematoma.			
7	47.	On February 10, 2010, Mr. Updike also verbally abused Mr. Custer.		
8	48.	On or before February 10, 2010, Mr. Custer did nothing to provoke, injure or		
9	assault Mr. Updike.			
10	49.	Mr. Updike's February 10, 2010 assault was the culmination of 18 months of		
11	abuse of Mr. Custer by Mr. Updike.			
12	50.	For example, in 2010 Mr. Updike threatened Mr. Custer with the words "I'll		
13	kill you."			
14	51.	On another occasion in 2010, Mr. Updike struck Mr. Custer's chair, which		
15	Mr. Custer was seated in, and stood over Mr. Custer threatening to strike Mr. Custer.			
16	52.	For Mr. Custer, Mr. Updike's February 10, 2010 assault was the last Mr		
17	Custer could take of Mr. Updike's abuse.			
18	53.	On February 10, 2010, immediately after Mr. Updike assaulted Mr. Custer		
19	Mr. Custer left the premises of Knight Refrigerated.			
20	54.	As a direct result of Mr. Updike's February 10, 2010 assault of Mr. Custer		
21	Mr. Custer was constructively discharged from Knight Refrigerated.			
22	55.	Despite opportunity, neither Mr. Updike nor Knight Refrigerated has denied		
23	that Mr. Updike for 18 months verbally and emotionally abused Mr. Custer.			
24	56.	Despite opportunity, neither Mr. Updike nor Knight Refrigerated has denie		
25	that Mr. Updike physically assaulted Mr. Custer on February 10, 2010.			
26	111			

1	FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF	
2	(Assault)	
3	57. Mr. Custer incorporates by reference herein Paragraphs 1 through 56 of the	
4	Complaint as though set fully forth herein.	
5	58. Defendants' actions as described above intended to cause harm or offensive	
6	contact with Mr. Custer or intended to cause Mr. Custer apprehension of an immediate	
7	harmful or offensive contact.	
8	59. Mr. Custer in fact suffered an apprehension of immediate harmful or offensive	
9	contact as a direct result of Defendants' actions as described above.	
10	60. Defendants' actions as described above have directly and proximately caused	
11	Mr. Custer to suffer damages in an amount to be proven at trial, including attorney's fees,	
12	costs and expenses.	
13	SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF	
14	(Battery)	
15	61. Mr. Custer incorporates by reference herein Paragraphs 1 through 60 of the	
16	Complaint as though set fully forth herein.	
17	62. Defendants' actions as described above intended to cause harm or offensive	
18	contact with Mr. Custer.	
19	63. Mr. Custer in fact suffered harm and offensive contact as a direct result o	
20	Defendants' actions as described above.	
21	64. Defendants' actions as described above have directly and proximately caused	
22	Mr. Custer to suffer damages in an amount to be proven at trial, including attorney's fees	
23	costs and expenses.	
24	THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF	
25	(Constructive Discharge)	
26	65. Mr. Custer incorporates by reference herein Paragraphs 1 through 64 of this	
	-7-	

24

25

26

Complaint as though set fully forth herein.

- Mr. Custer's working conditions as described above were objectively difficult or unpleasant to the extent that a reasonable employee would feel compelled to resign.
 - Defendants engaged in outrageous conduct as described above.
- As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' conduct as described above, Mr. Custer felt compelled to resign his position with Knight Refrigerated.
- Defendants' actions as described above have directly and proximately caused Mr. Custer to suffer damages in an amount to be proven at trial, including attorney's fees,

FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Wrongful Discharge in Violation of Public Policy)

- Mr. Custer incorporates by reference herein Paragraphs 1 through 69 of this Complaint as though set fully forth herein.
- It is the public policy of the State of Arizona to prevent the bodily harm of its
- Arizona's public policy protecting its citizens from bodily harm is set forth in certain constitutional provisions and statutes, including without limitation, the Arizona Constitution, Article 18, Section 6, and the Arizona Revised Statutes Section 13-1203.
- The Arizona Revised Statutes, Section 13-1203, defines assault as: "1. Intentionally, knowingly or recklessly causing any physical injury to another person; or 2. Intentionally placing another person in reasonable apprehension of imminent physical injury; or 3. Knowingly touching another person with the intent to injure, insult or provoke such person."
- As described above, Defendants assaulted Mr. Custer, without provocation, 74. right, privilege or justification.
 - As the result of Defendants' conduct as described above, Defendants' assault 75.

costs and expenses. 1 SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF 2 (Aggravated Negligence (as to Mr. Updike Only) and Willful Misconduct) 3 Mr. Custer incorporates by reference herein Paragraphs 1 through 87 of this 88. 4 Complaint as though set fully forth herein. 5 Mr. Updike's willful and wanton conduct as described above was undertaken 89. 6 with reckless indifference to the results of such conduct or to the rights or safety of others. 7 Mr. Updike's conduct as described above created an unreasonable risk of 90. 8 harm to Mr. Custer. 9 The risk created by Mr. Updike's conduct as described above was so great that 91. 10 it was highly probable that harm would result. 11 The injuries suffered by Mr. Custer were caused by Defendants' willful 92. 12 misconduct. 13 Defendants' actions as described above have directly and proximately caused 93. 14 Mr. Custer to suffer damages in an amount to be proven at trial, including attorney's fees, 15 costs and expenses. 16 SEVENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF 17 (Negligent Infliction of Emotional Distress – as to Mr. Updike Only) 18 Mr. Custer incorporates by reference herein Paragraphs 1 through 93 of this 94. 19 Complaint as though set fully forth herein. 20 In the alternative to the intentional torts alleged above, Mr. Custer alleges Mr. 95. 21 Updike's conduct as described above was negligent. 22 Mr. Updike's negligence created an unreasonable risk of bodily harm to Mr. 96. 23 Custer. 24 Mr. Updike's negligence was a cause of emotional distress to Mr. Custer. 97. 25 Mr. Custer's emotional distress resulted in physical injury or illness to Mr. 98. 26

Custer. 1 Mr. Updike's actions as described above have directly and proximately caused 99. 2 Mr. Custer to suffer damages in an amount to be proven at trial, including attorney's fees, 3 costs and expenses. 4 EIGHTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF 5 (Respondent Superior—as to Knight Refrigerated Only) 6 Mr. Custer incorporates by reference herein Paragraphs 1 through 99 of this 100. 7 Complaint as though set fully forth herein. 8 In engaging in the abuse and assault set forth above, Mr. Updike was acting 9 within the scope of his employment for Knight Refrigerated. 10 In abusing and assaulting Mr. Custer, Mr. Updike was acting with the full 11 knowledge and consent of Knight Refrigerated, who was aware of Mr. Updike's aggressive 12 conduct toward employees, and consented to same, expressly or implicitly, in Mr. Updike 13 carrying out his managerial responsibilities assigned by Knight Refrigerated. 14 Mr. Updike engaged in the conduct as described above out of motivation to 15 serve his employer, Knight Refrigerated. 16 Knight Refrigerated is responsible for the actions described above of its 17 employee Mr. Updike. 18 Defendants' actions as described above have directly and proximately caused 19 Mr. Custer to suffer damages in an amount to be proven at trial, including attorney's fees, 20 costs and expenses. 21 NINTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF 22 (Wage and Hour Violation) 23 Mr. Custer incorporates by reference herein Paragraphs 1 through 105 of this 24 Complaint as though set fully forth herein. 25 Mr. Custer did not supervise other employees while employed at Knight

26

- 13			
1	Refrigerated.		
2	108. Mr. Custer was instructed how to perform his duties while employed at		
3	Knight Refrigerated, and did not exercise independent judgment while carrying out those		
4	duties.		
5	109. Mr. Custer routinely worked in excess of 40 hours per week while employed		
6	at Knight Refrigerated.		
7	110. Mr. Custer was not paid overtime for hours worked in excess of 40 hours per		
8	week.		
9	111. Defendants' actions as described above have directly and proximately caused		
10	Mr. Custer to suffer damages in an amount to be proven at trial, including unpaid wages,		
11	interest on same, treble damages for same, and attorney's fees, costs and expenses.		
12	TENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF		
13	(Loss of Consortium)		
14	112. Mr. Custer incorporates by reference herein Paragraphs 1 through 111 of this		
15	Complaint as though set fully forth herein.		
16	113. Plaintiff Kathryn A. Custer, as a result of Defendants' actions as described		
17	above, has suffered a loss of consortium as to Mr. Custer in an amount to be proven at trial.		
18	114. Defendants' actions as described above have directly and proximately caused		
19	Ms. Custer to suffer damages in an amount to be proven at trial, including attorney's fees,		
20	costs and expenses.		
21	ELEVENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF		
22	(Punitive Damages)		
23	115. Mr. Custer incorporates by reference herein Paragraphs 1 through 114 of this		
24	Complaint as though set fully forth herein.		
25	116. Defendants' conduct as described above was taken intentionally, knowing its		
26	conduct was likely to cause unjustified, significant damage to Mr. Custer.		
	II		

1	J.	Awarding Plaintiff prejudgment and post-judgment interest on all amounts
2	awarded; and	
3	K.	Awarding Plaintiffs such other and further relief as the Court deems just.
4	RESPEC	CTFULLY SUBMITTED this 30 th day of November 2010.
5		THE ARMSTRONG FIRM A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
6		BY:
7		JAMES P. ARMSTRONG 4638 EAST SHEA BOULEVARD BUILDING B, SUITE 260
8		PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85028 ATTORNEYS FOR MR. AND MRS. CUSTER
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		

1	ORIGINAL of the foregoing filed this 30 th day of November 2010 with:
2	Clerk of the Court
3	Maricopa County Superior Court
4	Northeast Regional Court Center 18380 N. 40 th Street Phoenix, Arizona 85032
5	
6	COPY of the foregoing hand-delivered this 30 th day of November 2010 to:
7	Assigned Judge Maricopa County Superior Court
8	Northeast Regional Court Center 18380 N. 40 th Street
9	Dhooniy Arizona 85037
10	Bx: PS(I(a Leigh Waldwall
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	